

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G1	Redhill & Northbourne	covers a smaller area with 3 councillors for same area. would like tot see more community activities in area that other areas have (not free)	Agree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G2	Redhill & Northbourne	e, the should be greater detail explaining the difference between "community Style" council and other options.	Agree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G3	Redhill & Northbourne	I don't think Ensbury Park should be included (g) and I think 3 councillors is excessive (i).	Agree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G4	Redhill & Northbourne	I strongly agree with all the points put forward. It would give local area people more say in the future of their particular community .	Agree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G5	Redhill & Northbourne	a - Council tax is already not value for money so I don't want to pay any more. All these things should already be covered as part of what we currently pay so I would be for it if there was no increase	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G6	Redhill & Northbourne	'a' - Extra councillors would provide no benefit to the residents in my area. At a time when central government is seeking to amalgamate town and parish councils into larger entities I strongly disagree with BCP going in the opposite direction	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G7	Redhill & Northbourne	A- There is no need for a parish council, councillors as this just weighs down the current system with more levels of beurocracy	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G8	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>a, d</p> <p>I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit.</p> <p>Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets.</p> <p>Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G9	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>a,d,e,g,h.</p> <p>These plans will add an extra layer to local governance that will bring no benefit. These plans will add confusion as to who is responsible.</p> <p>You should keep local governance simple, not make it more complicated.</p> <p>These plans will not improve services, they will make them more complicated.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G10	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>a. Disagree. Reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Highly divisive action across BCP, promoting different levels of service throughout the conurbation. 2. Unnecessary additional level of bureaucracy 3. Resident confusion as to which councillor to contact, i.e one of the two BCP councillors, or one of the 9 proposed parish councillors. 4. Confusion as to who is responsible for services. 5. Confusion as to who is accountable for services. 6. Additional cost to residents without any clear advantages 7. Overall lack of resident support for the proposal which is considered to be unnecessary. 8. Contrary to the aims of Local Government Reform objectives to produce savings and efficiencies via consolidation of area services and organisations. <p>b. Disagree Reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The eastern boundary should remain co-terminus with the existing ward and parliamentary constituency boundary, i.e. along Redhill Avenue. The proposal to include Redhill Common with Redhill Park is not supported because the areas are distinctly different, i.e the Park is a public park and is maintained as such, but the land to the east of Redhill Avenue is a common, is not maintained as a public park, and the areas are clearly bisected by the Redhill Avenue Road. There are no valid reasons for changing the ward boundary at this location. 	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G11	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>a. I disagree with the general principle of adding more layers of local government. More councillors, more elections, Highcliffe parish and Christchurch Town councils serve no discernible purpose beyond adding another line to the council tax bill, and being a sop to those who believe that Christchurch should never have joined BCP in the first place and making a few people feel important. I don't want to be paying for one here the same.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G12	Redhill & Northbourne	As BCP will eventually become part of a larger authority, ie BCP, Dorset, Somerset and Wiltshire - it is irresponsible to have 3 tiers of council. This is all about raising money from the hard pressed council taxpayers. BCP cllrs need to be more honest about those reasons behind this ill-thought out move. We already have 76 cllrs to look after the 3 towns - we don't need more.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G13	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>BCP Council is already the democratically elected local authority responsible for this area, with two ward councillors who are directly accountable to residents. This structure ensures that local issues are represented without creating additional layers of bureaucracy or confusion. The current system works and already provides residents with accessible representation and a route to influence local decision-making.</p> <p>Introducing a parish council would duplicate responsibilities, add unnecessary complexity, and risk creating confusion about who is accountable for what. It would fragment governance and dilute the clarity of local democracy at a time when we should be aiming for more coherence and transparency, not less.</p> <p>Moreover, parish councils bring with them additional financial burdens. While not required to raise a precept, in practice they often do, as they can do little without one. This would result in higher council tax bills for residents in Redhill and Northbourne at a time when the cost of living is already a serious concern. Council tax is a regressive tax, and adding further charges would disproportionately impact those least able to pay.</p> <p>Establishing a parish council also risks entrenching inequality. More affluent areas may be able to raise higher precepts to fund better local services, while less affluent areas would struggle to keep up, undermining fairness across the wider community.</p> <p>BCP was created to unify our area, deliver services efficiently, and speak with one voice. Fragmenting that structure through new parish councils runs directly counter to its founding purpose. Redhill and Northbourne does not need a parish council—it needs to remain part of a strong, unified Bournemouth working together with the wider BCP community to build a fairer, more cohesive future.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G14	Redhill & Northbourne	Disagree with the whole ideas	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G15	Redhill & Northbourne	Don't need another layer. You stated at the point of the three councils merging was to stop waste and here we are trying to increase the costs to the taxpayers yet again.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G16	Redhill & Northbourne	I strongly disagree that we need further bureaucracy within BCP area which would inevitably increase costs for the residents	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G17	Redhill & Northbourne	I thought the whole idea of forming Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole into one council was to reduce bureaucracy and this proposal is a backwards step and is only going to cost more to the already struggling council tax payers.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G18	Redhill & Northbourne	If it ain't broke don't fix it. Historically estimated extra costs are widely miscalculated. Think HS2!	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G19	Redhill & Northbourne	Just another layer of bureaucracy that's not required, invest the money in Redhill Park	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G20	Redhill & Northbourne	Just another level of Government!	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G21	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>POINT A. And all other related points.</p> <p>This is utterly unacceptable. BCP was founded with the promise of streamlining services and saving money — not inflating bureaucracy and expanding the number of councillors at further expense to already overburdened taxpayers.</p> <p>Time and again, the council overlooks obvious revenue opportunities — such as properly staffing the parking enforcement team to penalise illegal parking. This not only generates income but improves safety and order across the borough.</p> <p>While I fully appreciate the challenging financial landscape, it's unacceptable to keep turning to residents — who are already receiving poor value for their council tax — to plug the gaps. Proposals like this only deepen the public's frustration and erode trust in local leadership.</p> <p>It's time for the council to lead with efficiency and innovation, not continue down the well-trodden path of asking ratepayers to do more for less.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G22	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>There is absolutely no need for a Redhill and Northbourne Community Council.</p> <p>BCP Council is the local authority responsible for the ward, with two directly-elected ward councillors.</p> <p>This system works, and there is no need for a duplication of personnel and responsibilities.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G23	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>We used to come under Bournemouth Council which was changed to BCP council on the argument that combining Bournemouth Christchurch & Poole councils would be more efficient. We residents had no say in this. Now it seems new layers are to be added which undoubtedly will make the council less efficient!!!!</p> <p>Why?</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G24	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>(a) Strongly agree – The Redhill and Northbourne area has a coherent sense of place, supported by distinct neighbourhood character and shared community assets. The establishment of a parish council would empower residents to shape local priorities and act as a representative body on issues such as parks, youth provision, planning, and community safety.</p> <p>(b) Agree – The inclusion of RN1–RN4 and a portion of MN1 is logical and balances practicality with local identity. The specific adjustment to include all of Redhill Park strengthens the sense of unity and corrects the current anomaly.</p> <p>(c) Agree – The proposed name accurately reflects the local geography and neighbourhood recognition.</p> <p>(d & e) Agree – A ‘community’ council style reflects the inclusive and grassroots nature of the proposal and sets an appropriate civic tone, particularly within the Bournemouth context where many residents may be less familiar with traditional ‘parish’ terminology.</p> <p>(f) Agree – The proposed name is practical, recognisable, and appropriate.</p> <p>(g, h & i) Agree – The three-ward structure, with equal representation (three councillors per ward), achieves strong electoral equality (with variances within - 1.6% to +2.2%) and offers balanced representation for neighbourhoods with shared characteristics.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
G25	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>(c) and (e) - I can't understand why Ensbury Park is being excluded from the proposed name for this parish, given that it's the most built-up and well-known/frequented of the three areas.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G26	Redhill & Northbourne	(e) The council should be named Redhill & Northbourne Parish Council in keeping with other parish councils and because that's what its function will be.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
G27	Redhill & Northbourne	[unknown]	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
G28	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>1) Northbourne Ave is split one side of road Northbourne parish other side Kinson parish</p> <p>2) It is wrong o split a road [unknown]</p> <p>3) as the north implies 'Northbourne [unknown] shows but in Northbourne Parish - not Kinson.</p> <p>4) The boundary line is easily [unknown] to enclose both sides of Northbourne ave. rearrange the area totally the boundary line.</p>	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 6
G29	Redhill & Northbourne	Agree	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G30	Redhill & Northbourne	b - Council area should be larger e.g. include Kinson & Bearwood	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
G31	Redhill & Northbourne	B. See below - If the suggestion is taken up, then a new name would be needed.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
G32	Redhill & Northbourne	good sense of local community	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
G33	Redhill & Northbourne	I like devolved powers	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G34	Redhill & Northbourne	I live in the area. I want local areas to have more say but unsure if it will make any difference in the long run	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
G35	Redhill & Northbourne	I think 2 Councillors per area should be enough	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
G36	Redhill & Northbourne	It should include keeble road/new road since bus are kinda of link to it up to ensbury bridge	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
G37	Redhill & Northbourne	This seems an unnecessary and potentially costly development which we really do not need. I am strongly against the changes	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 6

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G38	Redhill & Northbourne	Why change for change's sake?? Just to tick boxes??	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
G39	Redhill & Northbourne	(a) another tier of local government is not necessary	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
G40	Redhill & Northbourne	(a) I do not believe that there is a "community" of Redhill and Northbourne. There is just an arbitrary boundary drawn for election purposes. The community extends far beyond the polling districts specified. There is no centre to this proposed parish - no shops, no community buildings, just a beautiful park that is used by residents from this and neighbouring wards. I was a Parish Councillor for 25 years in another area and am in favour of Parish Councils but I do not think this proposal makes any sense. It will only cause problems for future residents if they are expected to pay for play facilities that are mostly used by people from outside the ward.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
G41	Redhill & Northbourne	(a) The current arrangements should remain in place and it should be left to the residents to instigate local parish councils if and when they feel the need. This will better reflect what residents in the various areas want enabling them to define what they consider to be the identified boundaries of their community as well as identifying the issues that are specific to their areas – rather than having it imposed upon them.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G42	Redhill & Northbourne	a - disagree with whole concept, extra cost and bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 0
G43	Redhill & Northbourne	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
G44	Redhill & Northbourne	a to h. If there was not a Parish Council before, why do we need one now? Surely the area comes under BCP Council. There is no need for another tier of local Government it only confuses the local population.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
G45	Redhill & Northbourne	A waste of time	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G46	Redhill & Northbourne	a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
G47	Redhill & Northbourne	a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
G48	Redhill & Northbourne	A) Disagree. An unnecessary extra expense for residents during a trying time. Unsure why this specific area needs it own council. What problems/needs does it have that Alderney for example does not? Why this area?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
G49	Redhill & Northbourne	a) I understand the states purpose of having a parish council for a part of town but splitting things down again after merging into BCP seems like a waste of time, it's feels like not that many people compared to the total population have actually expressed any interest and this is being done to appease individuals with nothing better to do.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G50	Redhill & Northbourne	a) It an unnecessary level of beauracy and an informal council parish council could be created under the existing charter system g)-h) The number of councilors should be less than present BCP councilors supprting the area	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
G51	Redhill & Northbourne	a) Merge it with Bournemouth Town Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
G52	Redhill & Northbourne	a) My belief is there is not an appetite for a Parish or Community Council in Bournemouth as a whole and this CGR has generated a consultation to choose one or another. Instead I am of the opinion if to 'do nothing at all' was an option in the consultation then the majority of the consultation responses would be in favor of a 'change is unnecessary' response.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
G53	Redhill & Northbourne	a) to h) (all) I strongly disagree with the need for parish councils when we already have an amalgamated Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G54	Redhill & Northbourne	'a', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
G55	Redhill & Northbourne	A, I feel it a completely unnecessary burden of extra cost on existing bcp council tax payers when are services are already incredibly poor. D, would serve no benefit to local residents and will only further fund the demise of bournemouth and feed the greed of the local government and Council. G, further demonstrating the level greed mentioned above. More workers at inflated costs serving the same little purpose as those we already pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
G56	Redhill & Northbourne	a,b,c Redhill and Northbourne should not have a new parish council - there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
G57	Redhill & Northbourne	a,b,c,d and any other. Another layer of bureaucracy is not required in the BCP area. There is no rush to make a decision today or next year. If any party has reason to believe Community Governance is needed that subject can be part of the manifesto at the next local elections in May 2027.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G58	Redhill & Northbourne	a,b,c,d,e,f :- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
G59	Redhill & Northbourne	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h There is no need for further levels of administration. You haven't shown how this would benefit the local population or BCP as a whole. It just seems to be a way of diverting work from the existing council, making their lives easier at the expense of everyone else. We need a breakdown of cost benefits before something like this is proposed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
G60	Redhill & Northbourne	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h We have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
G61	Redhill & Northbourne	a,b,d and f. I believe with the governments current proposals on local government we should not be considering any further changes in local government until the governments decisions on merging councils has been completed and we should take a lead from central government. We have no need for additional councillors and by introducing an additional council we will lengthen the time made for decisions, increase costs and will not provide any material benefit.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G62	Redhill & Northbourne	a,b,d I don't think there should be a parish in this area as the council tax bill would increase far more than now with little benefit. If a parish was to be set up I think this one should include the Winton west area.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
G63	Redhill & Northbourne	A. Do not want this recommit to proceed as it is adding additional layers of bureaucracy and expenditure to achieve nothing.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
G64	Redhill & Northbourne	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
G65	Redhill & Northbourne	abolish the council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G66	Redhill & Northbourne	Advise why BCP council are incapable of looking after the communities they were elected to represent without creating another layer of bureaucracy for residents to contend with. Instead of creating another system would it not be better for BCP to just get the job done. BCP was created to be more efficient and cost effective, If we are to have town and parish councils then BCP council should be disbanded and we should go back to just 3 separate town councils.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
G67	Redhill & Northbourne	Again this is a preposterously small area to have a parish council with no demonstrable sense of identity, as opposed to being a part of Bournemouth as a whole. If these Town/Parish councils were to exist, this should simply be a part of Bournemouth town council. It is a ludicrous suggestion that you'd have a "Chairman of Redhill & Northbourne" and unprecedented in all other urban areas of England & Wales.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
G68	Redhill & Northbourne	Again. Just not needed.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
G69	Redhill & Northbourne	A-h) Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G70	Redhill & Northbourne	All - disagree with proposals	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
G71	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
G72	Redhill & Northbourne	All - why waste money on extra councillors, we have enough BCP members already. Also why can this area not be included in Bournemouth, if there has to be a parish council? Total waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G73	Redhill & Northbourne	All of the above how much will it cost tax payers we can't afford another council tax rise	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5
G74	Redhill & Northbourne	An un-necessary expense	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
G75	Redhill & Northbourne	Another layer of beauocracy paid for by the public purse	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
G76	Redhill & Northbourne	As someone who live in the outskirts of the area, but travels through and has a child who goes to school in the area, why? What benefits would there be? The red hill park is not going to be magically made better, the roads won't suddenly become better. In fact the opposite! Who do I report it too? The parish, the local "town" or bpc? Just no!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G77	Redhill & Northbourne	Bournemouth should have a single parish council covering the exact boundaries of the former Bournemouth Borough Council.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
G78	Redhill & Northbourne	Disagree with a,d,g and h. I do not believe there should be another layer of councils in Bournemouth. We went unitary as we were told it would be a more efficient way of government.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
G79	Redhill & Northbourne	Dissolve this council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
G80	Redhill & Northbourne	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G81	Redhill & Northbourne	Doesn't need a parish council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
G82	Redhill & Northbourne	Don't see the need for another level of council at unjustified extra expense	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH11 8
G83	Redhill & Northbourne	h - far too many councillors	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
G84	Redhill & Northbourne	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of parish councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G85	Redhill & Northbourne	I am against insofar as the reasons detailed in the Bournemouth Town response.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
G86	Redhill & Northbourne	I am happy for the existing parish councils to continue but disagree with the creation of any further parish councils being created within the BCP Area. The whole point of having a unitary authority was to have economies of scale with fewer staff and less costs. Why spend more money on a local government tier that has very limited power? It's just another layer of bureaucracy and cost.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
G87	Redhill & Northbourne	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
G88	Redhill & Northbourne	I disagree with all of these as I don't agree with Redhill and Northbourne being made into a Parish Council on its own. If town councils were to go ahead then Redhill and Northbourne should be a part of Bournemouth. If it is allowed to be on its own then all the wards of Poole and Bournemouth should also be separate.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G89	Redhill & Northbourne	I disagree with all statements above. It is a further layer of Bureaucracy and funding.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
G90	Redhill & Northbourne	I disagree with the continuation of current parish and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
G91	Redhill & Northbourne	I disagree with the parishing of Bournemouth as an idea. I think the division of communities is unhelpful and will not serve the interests of the people of Bournemouth well. I can see why people think it will, but without additional money via a precept, we will simply be adding a layer of bureaucracy. The precept adds an extra cost that people do not need. Our responsibility is to make BCP work, not hand parts of it over to someone else. Parishes are the least democratic form of local government, with a huge proportion of elections uncontested.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
G92	Redhill & Northbourne	I disagree with this extra layer of local government. It will be costly, and it will be a waste of time space and money.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G93	Redhill & Northbourne	I disagree. I don't feel this is needed and I don't want to pay any further council tax than I already pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 6
G94	Redhill & Northbourne	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
G95	Redhill & Northbourne	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
G96	Redhill & Northbourne	I do not see any benefits to the changes	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G97	Redhill & Northbourne	I do not think parish councils would benefit anyone. It is just another layer of administration.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
G98	Redhill & Northbourne	I do not think that the constituents can afford to pay more than they already are for council tax. In the current economy people are already struggling to pay their overheads let alone fork out more, for what seems to be the same service they already receive, with no monetary cap on what can be charged. It's a terrible idea.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
G99	Redhill & Northbourne	I do not think that this should happen. The cost of this is extortionate and we have enough red tape as it is. most of the questions on this consultation indicate that it is going ahead no matter what.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH10 7
G100	Redhill & Northbourne	I don't think Bournemouth should have parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G101	Redhill & Northbourne	I don't see the reason for a council separate from Bournemouth Town Council, as the area is too small for its own council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
G102	Redhill & Northbourne	I don't understand why this area has been nominated for a parish/town council but Kinson which is a much more established and defined town area hasn't	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 8
G103	Redhill & Northbourne	I strongly disagree with a) and b) that a council with these boundaries be established within Bournemouth. I disagree with splitting up Bournemouth into smaller town councils. Bournemouth has long been made up of many communities all with a shared identity of being part of Bournemouth. We use green spaces, parks, the beach and shopping districts across Bournemouth, not only those closes to us. People in my area use and care about the whole stretch of seafront, transport networks, schools/colleges, green spaces, shops and the town centre, not just the bits closest to us. Creating new local government boundaries drawing lines between our areas will formalise and over time reinforce differences between communities and reduce community cohesion.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
G104	Redhill & Northbourne	I strongly disagree with the whole draft since the 3 councils have become BCP it is a complete mess when people say that you are going to get a much better service this is not true the council building in Bournemouth is a joke with a lot of people working from home. I would like to know what benefit would be to me as a resident having more councillors changing the borders no benefit what so ever the old saying is if it's not broken don't fix it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G105	Redhill & Northbourne	I think the whole proposal is utterly ridiculous. It would create three layers of government and dozens more councillors, all claiming thousands in expenses, yet with no real statutory powers. It won't replace BCP, so what's the point? Just hundreds more in council tax for absolutely nothing of value.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 6
G106	Redhill & Northbourne	I was under the impression that creating the BCP council was to reduce the overheads in local government. Introducing a new town council would seem to be an increase in costs and involve additional council tax for residents. At a time when council tax is at an all time high but public services are declining in the BCP area, this doesn't seem an appropriate move.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
G107	Redhill & Northbourne	Merge with Bournemouth	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
G108	Redhill & Northbourne	No convincing case has been to create a new and separate parish and parish Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G109	Redhill & Northbourne	No need for parish or town councils - it's just extra cost!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
G110	Redhill & Northbourne	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
G111	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish councils and, especially, parish councillors are NOT needed.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 8
G112	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G113	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish Councils are an unnecessary extra level of burocracy costing additional precepts to local people when the parish council does not have skilledexpertise to carry out duties that should be carried out by competent and trained staff. The environment is a good example. E.g. A parish council could never afford to have skilled expertise in environmental matters - something which is a core responsibility of the Unitary Authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
G114	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
G115	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
G116	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G117	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
G118	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish councils represent unnecessary bureaucracy and are a waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
G119	Redhill & Northbourne	Please see comments on the wider issues (Bournemouth, Poole) re benefits of co-ordination, consistency and liaison across the conurbation plus the efficiency gains (to council(s) and residents) from having a single body address issues.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
G120	Redhill & Northbourne	Re a and d - the parish council should not be created. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not increasing it. I do not think the areas that do have these already get good value for the extra money they pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G121	Redhill & Northbourne	Red Hill will not benefit from these changes as there will be too much red tape to get any decisions made	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
G122	Redhill & Northbourne	Redhill and Northbourne is already well served by pro active Councillors no need to waste money on another tier of administration.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
G123	Redhill & Northbourne	Redhill and Northbourne would be too small and is not a contgious community. It should be a part of a North Bournemouth- or Bournemouth Town Hall	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
G124	Redhill & Northbourne	Should be part of an Independent Bournemouth Council or a ward of BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G125	Redhill & Northbourne	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authority cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
G126	Redhill & Northbourne	Strongly oppose Redhill being carved out of the rest of Bournemouth as a separate council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
G127	Redhill & Northbourne	The area is too small to warrant a parish council of its own. Additionally, it is almost entirely residential, with no focus point or commercial sites, meaning it has little "community" relevance.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
G128	Redhill & Northbourne	The area is too small, and there is no established community.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G129	Redhill & Northbourne	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
G130	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept.</p> <p>Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
G131	Redhill & Northbourne	There is no need for this further layer of government.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G132	Redhill & Northbourne	These draft proposals will not improve Governance but will increase costs of Governance,	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
G133	Redhill & Northbourne	This adds additional layers which is inefficient and adds no added value. Responsibilities and accountability between council will become blurred. Creates new jobs, more councillors, more beauracy with zero benefit to local people. Over complicates.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
G134	Redhill & Northbourne	This represents another layer of bureaucracy throughout the area with additional costs. We have only just got rid of the 'original' layers of local government at considerable cost (although it was sold as a cost saving). Now you intend to set up all these additional layers. It implies the original decision was wrong - we end up paying for your mistakes!!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G135	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult</p> <p>DISAGREE</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
G136	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>Throop and Holdenhurst should be amalgamated with this with less than your recommended amount of councillors to stop you charging the rate payers extortionate council tax rises to pay over the odds pay</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
G137	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>Unnecessary additional costs</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G138	Redhill & Northbourne	Unnecessary layer of bureaucracy	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
G139	Redhill & Northbourne	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
G140	Redhill & Northbourne	Waste of Money, should be covered under by BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
G141	Redhill & Northbourne	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G142	Redhill & Northbourne	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
G143	Redhill & Northbourne	We don't need Parish Councils.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
G144	Redhill & Northbourne	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
G145	Redhill & Northbourne	This is an additional level of bureaucracy which will add additional costs which are completely unaffordable	Don't know/not sure	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q38 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G146	Redhill & Northbourne	G,H I think 9 councillors is too many you will never get anything done (too many cooks in the kitchen)	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 2
G147	Redhill & Northbourne	I am concerned that neighbourhoods such as Charminster will once again be left behind if other neighbourhoods get this status.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
G148	Redhill & Northbourne	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
G149	Redhill & Northbourne	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G150	Redhill & Northbourne	A very good idea	Agree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G151	Redhill & Northbourne	BCP – please stop trying to reinvent the wheel. We already have councillors and we don't need anymore.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G152	Redhill & Northbourne	BCP has recently and very effectively, in my opinion, combined three areas into one. I'm at a loss as to why the council are now looking to break it down into even smaller entities than it originally had. Redhill and Northbourne have sufficient councillors for the residents needs.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G153	Redhill & Northbourne	Don't do it, we don't need it. And these comments apply equally to all areas.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G154	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish council are unnecessary and will add further cost to the council's budget and council taxes will rise.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G155	Redhill & Northbourne	The residents of Redhill should not have the whole burden of the cost of maintaining the paddling pool. It is used by children from a minimum of 4 other wards who must share the cost. It cannot be called a community council. There is NO community here - there is Redhill, Ensbury Park, Northbourne and East House - all separate entities.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G156	Redhill & Northbourne	The Ward Northern boundary should be extended westward along Wimborne Road to the East Howe Lane junction, then southward along East Howe Lane to join the existing boundary at the junction of East Howe Lane and Leybourne Avenue. This action would encompass a small area of Northbourne currently part of Kinson Ward, but where residents identify as being part of the Northbourne Ward. This anomaly has existed for many years and was created when the electoral boundary was created to balance the electoral numbers, but did not account for the fact that all residents in this area identify with living in Northbourne. Geographically the area is not in the Kinson area as reflected by the name of The Northbourne Shopping Parade, Northbourne Church, Northbourne Day Centre (now demolished), Northbourne Carvery, Northbourne Surgery, etc. Should the proposals for creating a Redhill and Northbourne Parish go ahead, it would be wrong to exclude residents who are part of the Northbourne Community, from the newly formed parish. This change was presented to the O&S Board but was rejected by the Kinson Ward Councillors on the Board on the basis that hey did not agree with any Parishes being created, as opposed to the basis of the rationale presented here.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G157	Redhill & Northbourne	These plans will bring no benefit to the residents, so should be scrapped.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G158	Redhill & Northbourne	This seems to be a complete waste of money!!	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G159	Redhill & Northbourne	We already pay our council tax. So as far as I am concerned why should I pay TWICE for services that should be supplied by YOU THE COUNCIL.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 5
G160	Redhill & Northbourne	Yes just revert back to Bournemouth Council.The merging of the 3 councils has obviously not worked	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G161	Redhill & Northbourne	Yes. Don't do it.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G162	Redhill & Northbourne	You have to be joking with this proposal, but unfortunately I do not find it funny.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living in proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
G163	Redhill & Northbourne	Both sides of Northbourne Ave showed but in the Nourthbourne Parish NOT one side of the [unknown] in Northbourne parish that other in Kinson Parish.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 6

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G164	Redhill & Northbourne	Include keeble road and new road up to ensbury bridge to boundary for northbourne as bus are kinda of link to it	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
G165	Redhill & Northbourne	Looking at the map, the Kinson area should be moved from the Bournemouth area to this area. This is because local issues to the Kinson area are very likely to affect the Redhill & Northbourne areas as well.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
G166	Redhill & Northbourne	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
G167	Redhill & Northbourne	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
G168	Redhill & Northbourne	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G169	Redhill & Northbourne	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
G170	Redhill & Northbourne	Perhaps the area should include a slice of Northbourne off East Howe Lane to form a better parish boundary. They consider themselves Northbourne	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
G171	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>Redhill and Northbourne residents have consistently demonstrated strong levels of community involvement, from Friends groups to schools, churches, and local campaigns. A community council would provide democratic legitimacy and coordination to that energy.</p> <p>To ensure early success, BCP Council should commit to providing structured onboarding and induction support to new councillors—particularly in governance, budgeting, and project management. Additionally, as with other emerging councils, a clear framework for asset transfer, service delegation, or partnership working should be made available well in advance of the first meeting of the new council.</p> <p>If implemented carefully, the Redhill and Northbourne Community Council could serve as a model of active local democracy in an urban setting.</p>	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
G172	Redhill & Northbourne	Yes - the best course of action is to send them to the recycling centre.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 6

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G173	Redhill & Northbourne	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
G174	Redhill & Northbourne	a) Merge it with Bournemouth Town Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
G175	Redhill & Northbourne	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
G176	Redhill & Northbourne	All of the draft proposals should be rejected	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
G177	Redhill & Northbourne	All services should be provided by existing BCP Council. Existing Councillor representation is adequate	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH11 8

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G178	Redhill & Northbourne	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
G179	Redhill & Northbourne	BCP or parish councils, not both	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
G180	Redhill & Northbourne	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
G181	Redhill & Northbourne	Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
G182	Redhill & Northbourne	Disagree with proposals	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G183	Redhill & Northbourne	Disagree with the concept of creating an additional tier of local authority administration, having sought to delay the Council in 1997 and 2019 for economy and efficiency reasons. Any change should involve a referendum Disagree for reasons given in previous question	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
G184	Redhill & Northbourne	Disband BCP Council and go back to just having Bournemouth Council who looked after Bournemouth a lot better than BCP have ever done	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
G185	Redhill & Northbourne	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
G186	Redhill & Northbourne	Doesn't need a parish council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
G187	Redhill & Northbourne	Don't do it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G188	Redhill & Northbourne	Existing councillors could be given small budgets and officer help to pursue projects useful for their wards.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
G189	Redhill & Northbourne	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
G190	Redhill & Northbourne	I disagree with the continuation of current parish and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
G191	Redhill & Northbourne	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
G192	Redhill & Northbourne	I lived in this area for 60 years, why does it need an extra level of administration. I suspect this is a way of BCP council ofloading responsibilities which they say they can't afford.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G193	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>I will confess to being furious when I received the leaflet about these new proposals. It hasn't been long since we saw a significant hike in council tax because the council couldn't afford to properly fund essential services like schools and social care — and now you're proposing to waste even more public money on paying expenses to extra councillors we simply do not need. I would strongly suggest scrapping this proposal and instead focusing on the far more urgent and pressing issues affecting the town.</p> <p>The council is already under severe financial strain, anticipating further cost increases due to the rising National Living Wage and National Insurance contributions. It has admitted struggling to meet the growing demand for Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND) support, leading to a worrying deficit. On top of that, there are real concerns about potential cuts to school budgets — described by many as 'terrifying'.</p> <p>This proposal is ill-timed, unnecessary, and unaffordable. Residents deserve solutions to existing problems, not another layer of costly bureaucracy.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 6
G194	Redhill & Northbourne	I would like to leave it as it is.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
G195	Redhill & Northbourne	If it ain't broke don't fix it	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5
G196	Redhill & Northbourne	If this area is to be "parished" it would make more sense if it was part of a larger parish, perhaps including Wallisdown and Winton West as well as Moordown, although I can see that it will be difficult to establish boundaries.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G197	Redhill & Northbourne	It is an unnecessary cost and just adds another layer of Bureaucracy	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
G198	Redhill & Northbourne	It would be better for the area to come under a Bournemouth Town Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
G199	Redhill & Northbourne	Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year , totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
G200	Redhill & Northbourne	Less bureaucracy and transparency is required from BCP with more action from those already elected, not more	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G201	Redhill & Northbourne	Local people cannot afford any more council tax and will not be valued for money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
G202	Redhill & Northbourne	Merge with Bournemouth	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
G203	Redhill & Northbourne	NA	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
G204	Redhill & Northbourne	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
G205	Redhill & Northbourne	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G206	Redhill & Northbourne	No	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
G207	Redhill & Northbourne	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
G208	Redhill & Northbourne	No community demand for a separate council in Redhill & Northbourne	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
G209	Redhill & Northbourne	No.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
G210	Redhill & Northbourne	Once the government has completed it's latest round of local government reorganisation then this should be revisited particularly as we do not know whether the national government as part of the efficiency reorganisation my abolish them nationally	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G211	Redhill & Northbourne	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
G212	Redhill & Northbourne	People are trying to circumvent the elected authority to suit their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
G213	Redhill & Northbourne	Proposals,are,ridiculous,	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
G214	Redhill & Northbourne	Scrap it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
G215	Redhill & Northbourne	Stop creating more layers of inefficiencies and concentrate on fixing existing issues within BCP. Start with the basics and not deflect by creating a new element which will increase existing issues. Go back to basics.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G216	Redhill & Northbourne	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authority cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
G217	Redhill & Northbourne	The Council has no money to waste	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 5
G218	Redhill & Northbourne	The draft recommendation fail to explain any benefit whatsoever to the residents, workers or visitors to the area, just duplication and additional bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
G219	Redhill & Northbourne	the recommendations are driven by a small response of the 7625 electorate. ie if a proposal/delegation/submission to CGR was less than 76 individuals it would be less than 1% of the electorate. in no way is this representative of the communities and would be dismissed if it were a referendum on such a change for either of in this CGR	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
G220	Redhill & Northbourne	These proposals hack off the "nice areas" and leave the less affluent/ less beautiful areas all lumped together. It feels like I am being "partitioned"! d together.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G221	Redhill & Northbourne	This area should not be separated from the rest of Bournemouth. The division with Kinson is entirely artificial.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
G222	Redhill & Northbourne	This is something we just don't have a big need for.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 6
G223	Redhill & Northbourne	This isn't a town it's just a random selection of wards	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 8
G224	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>This whole thing is wrong!</p> <p>I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils</p> <p>There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost.</p> <p>The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent.</p> <p>I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' . . . Or should I say girls in this instance!</p> <p>This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult</p>	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G225	Redhill & Northbourne	Total waste of time and , OUR, taxes. If adopted will create a gaggle of overbearing, jumped up little.....I won't write the noun, but you get my meaning don't you?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 8
G226	Redhill & Northbourne	Town councils have very limited powers, therefore the return on investment in terms of actual services delivered under a Town Council would be minimal. It would be far more cost effective for BCP to improve their own services. I am totally against the above proposal.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
G227	Redhill & Northbourne	Town or Parish Councils are not required as it/they will only introduce another layer of bureacracy which will add to increased taxes at a time of hardship for many. Leave things alone until at least the next Local Elections	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
G228	Redhill & Northbourne	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
G229	Redhill & Northbourne	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G230	Redhill & Northbourne	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON- just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
G231	Redhill & Northbourne	We do not need parish councils as well as BCP. That is unnecessary, costly and would lead to certain matters not being considered by either.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
G232	Redhill & Northbourne	We don't need Parish Councils.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
G233	Redhill & Northbourne	Yes, it's utter monesense and unnecessary and an exorbitant waste of funds. Try not miss managing the funding you currently get from extortionate high illegal council tax payments. Fund the local community properly by showing an jbterest in families in the community.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 2
G234	Redhill & Northbourne	I am concerned that neighbourhoods such as Charminster will once again be left behind if other neighbourhoods get this status.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8

Q39 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Redhill & Northbourne?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
G235	Redhill & Northbourne	I am not either agreeing or disagreeing with this proposal, but just wishing to comment that I feel a separate parish for Redhill and Northbourne detracts from the creation of an effective and convenient boundary for a Bournemouth Town Council, either now or in the future. I agree it is worth consulting on but I believe we should not create this parish unless there is very strong support from local residents.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
G236	Redhill & Northbourne	<p>The proposal to include part of Moordown Ward in this parish to encompass the whole of Redhill Park raises concerns.</p> <p>Whilst there are currently no properties in the area, if there were in future, electors would be voting for different BCP Council and parliamentary areas. This would increase the margin for error in polling stations and make administering the elections more complex.</p> <p>This could be resolved by requesting a related alteration from the Local Government Boundary Commission for England to the boundary between the Redhill and Northbourne and Moordown BCP Council wards to make this coterminous with the proposed parish boundary.</p> <p>If a related alteration cannot be approved, then part of MN1 should not be included in the proposed parish.</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
G237	Redhill & Northbourne	This is probably the most obvious example of the arbitrary nature of the recommendations. There is absolutely no reason for Redhill & Northbourne to be a parish that could not be applied to Winton, Moordown, Kinson or any other area of BCP. Indeed it could be equally argued that this could be two parishes. The recommendations are ridiculous as proposed.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3